



### References

The marine planning team have continuously been reviewing available evidence and keeping up to date with issues in the east marine plan areas. To prepare for the Issues with Supporting Evidence stage in plan making, the team conducted a horizon scanning exercise and identified three priority issues, challenges, and opportunities within marine planning policy areas. The evidence to support the issues, challenges and opportunities are listed below. If you have any additional or alternative evidence sources, please upload these to the relevant sector.

#### Air Quality

1. Department for Transport and Maritime and Coastguard Agency, 2019. Clean Maritime Plan. Accessed via [www.gov.uk/government/publications/clean-maritime-plan-maritime-2050-environment-route-map](http://www.gov.uk/government/publications/clean-maritime-plan-maritime-2050-environment-route-map) on 22/05/2024.
2. Air Quality Expert Group, 2017. Impacts of Shipping on UK Air Quality. Accessed via [https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/library/reports?report\\_id=934](https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/library/reports?report_id=934) on 23/05/2024.
3. Department for Transport, 2019. Guidance: Port Air Quality Strategies. Accessed via [Port air quality strategies \(publishing.service.gov.uk\)](http://port.airqualitystrategies.publishing.service.gov.uk) on 29/05/2024.

#### Biodiversity

1. Johnson et al., 2023. Marine Restoration Potential (MaRePo). Natural England Research Report JP054. Accessed via <https://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/6296202682040320> on 24/05/2024.
2. Falconer et al., 2023. Planning and licensing for marine aquaculture. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, 15(4), pp.1374-1404. <https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12783>.
3. ABPmer, 2022. White Paper: Intertidal Net Gain – Are we Getting It Wrong? Accessed via [White Paper: Intertidal Net Gain – Are We Getting It Wrong? \(abpmer.co.uk\)](http://whitepaper.abpmer.co.uk) on 29/05/2024.

#### Disturbance

1. Galparsoro, I., Menchaca, I., Garmendia, J.M. *et a*, 2022. Reviewing the ecological impacts of offshore wind farms. Accessed via [Reviewing the ecological impacts of offshore wind farms | npj Ocean Sustainability \(nature.com\)](https://www.nature.com/articles/s41566-022-00888-8) on 29/05/2024.
2. Footprint Ecology on behalf of East Suffolk Council, 2019. Habitats Regulations Assessment Recreational Disturbance Avoidance and Mitigation Strategy for Ipswich Borough, Babergh District, Mid Suffolk District and East Suffolk Councils – Technical Report. Accessed via [Microsoft Word - Suffolk HRA RAMS Strategy 5LPAs V5 final 230519 \(eastsuffolk.gov.uk\)](https://www.eastsuffolk.gov.uk/media/230519/microsoft-word-suffolk-hra-rams-strategy-5lpas-v5-final-230519) on 29/05/2024.



3. Royal Society for the Protection of Birds, 2023. Wild Isles – Marine Conservation in Suffolk. Accessed via [Wild Isles - Marine conservation in Suffolk - RSPB England - Our work - The RSPB Community](#) on 29/05/2024.

### **Invasive Species**

1. O'Shaughnessy, K.A, et al., 2023. Audit, review and prioritisation for marine invasive non-native species biosecurity planning in England Natural England Commissioned Report, NECR477. Accessed via [NECR477 Audit review and prioritisation for marine invasive non-native species biosecurity planning in England - NECR477 \(naturalengland.org.uk\)](#) on 29/05/2024.
2. Shannon C, Paul D. Stebbing, et al., 2020. Getting on board with biosecurity: Evaluating the effectiveness of marine invasive alien species biosecurity policy for England and Wales. *Marine Policy* 122. Accessed via [Getting on board with biosecurity: Evaluating the effectiveness of marine invasive alien species biosecurity policy for England and Wales - ScienceDirect](#) on 29/05/2024.
3. Roy, H. E., Pauchard, A., Stoett, P., et al., 2024. IPBES Invasive Alien Species Assessment: Summary for Policymakers. Accessed via [Summary for policymakers - Invasive Alien Species Assessment | IPBES secretariat](#) on 29/05/2024.

### **Marine Litter**

1. Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs, 2019. Marine Strategy Part One: UK updated assessment and Good Environmental Status. Accessed via [Marine strategy part one: UK updated assessment and Good Environmental Status - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](#) on 24/05/2024.
2. Galgani, F., Ruiz Orejon Sanchez Pastor, L. et al., 2023. Guidance on the monitoring of marine litter in European seas, Publications Office of the European Union. Accessed via [JRC Publications Repository - Guidance on the monitoring of marine litter in European seas \(europa.eu\)](#) on 29/05/2024.
3. Surfers Against Sewage, 2014. Marine Litter report. Accessed via [Marine Litter Monitoring & Evaluation Programme - Surfers Against Sewage \(sas.org.uk\)](#) on 29/05/2024.

### **Underwater Noise**

1. Mooney, T.A., Andersson, M.H., Stanley, J, 2020. Acoustic Impacts of Offshore Wind Energy on Fishery Resources: An Evolving Source and Varied Effects Across a Wind Farm's Lifetime. *Oceanography* , 33(4). Accessed via [Acoustic Impacts of Offshore Wind Energy on Fishery Resources: An Evolving Source and Varied Effects Across a Wind Farm's Lifetime | Oceanography \(tos.org\)](#) on 29/05/2024.



2. Vallejo GC, Grellier K, Nelson EJ, et al. Responses of two marine top predators to an offshore wind farm. *Ecol Evol*, pp. 8698–8708. Accessed via [Responses of two marine top predators to an offshore wind farm - Vallejo - 2017 - Ecology and Evolution - Wiley Online Library](#) on 29/05/2024.
3. Vakili, S., White, P., Turnock, S.R, 2023. The impact of shipping's energy efficiency measures on reduction of underwater radiated noise, and opportunities for co-benefit. Accessed via [The impact of shipping's energy efficiency measures on reduction of underwater radiated noise, and opportunities for co-benefit | International Chamber of Shipping \(ics-shipping.org\)](#) on 29/05/2024.

### **Net Gain and Natural Capital**

1. Seabed User and Developer Group, 2024. Intertidal Biodiversity Net Gain: an additional burden on industry and a missed opportunity for nature. Accessed via [Intertidal Biodiversity Net Gain: an additional burden on industry and a missed opportunity for nature \(sudg.org.uk\)](#) on 29/05/2024.
2. News article: Orsted, 2022. Orsted commits to restoring the Humber's biodiversity with pioneering project in the UK. Accessed via [Ørsted commits to restoring the Humber's biodiversity with pioneering project in the UK \(orsted.com\)](#) on 29/05/2024.\*
3. Marine Management Organisation, 2023. MMO1336: A pilot for using the five capitals approach for marine plan development in the East of England, October 2023. Accessed via [A pilot for using the five capitals approach for marine plan development in the East of England - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](#) on 29/05/2024.

### **Marine Protected Areas**

1. ABPmer, 2022. Spatial Squeeze in Fisheries, Final Report, ABPmer Report No. R.3900. Accessed via [www.nffo.org.uk/the-frightening-outlook-of-fisheries-displacement-spatial-squeeze-report-published/](#) and links therein on 24/05/2024.
2. Suzanne Ware, Anna-Leena Downie, 2020. Challenges of habitat mapping to inform marine protected area (MPA) designation and monitoring: An operational perspective. *Marine Policy*, 111. Accessed via [Challenges of habitat mapping to inform marine protected area \(MPA\) designation and monitoring: An operational perspective - ScienceDirect](#) on 29/05/2024.
3. Joint Nature Conservation Committee, 2023. Southern North Sea MPA. Accessed via [Southern North Sea MPA | JNCC - Adviser to Government on Nature Conservation](#) on 29/05/2024.



### Water quality

1. Environment Agency, 2022. Anglian river basin district river basin management plan: updated 2022. Accessed via [www.gov.uk/guidance/anglian-river-basin-district-river-basin-management-plan-updated-2022](http://www.gov.uk/guidance/anglian-river-basin-district-river-basin-management-plan-updated-2022) on 24/05/2024.
2. Surfers Against Sewage. Combined sewer overflows. Accessed via [Combined Sewer Overflows - Surfers Against Sewage \(sas.org.uk\)](http://sas.org.uk) on 29/05/2024.\*
3. Centre of Environment Fisheries and Aquaculture Science, 2024. Harmful algal blooms (habs) surveillance programmes and monitoring. Accessed via [Harmful Algal Blooms \(HABS\) Surveillance Programmes and Monitoring - Cefas \(Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science\)](#) on 29/05/2024.

\*It is noted that these webpages and news articles are useful sources to identify issues, but these do not constitute robust evidence. Please provide alternative robust evidence sources, as described in the What is evidence? section of this survey, where possible.