



Department  
for Environment  
Food & Rural Affairs

# **Proposed Southern North Sea and Channel Skates and Rays – Statutory Nature Conservation Body Advice**

October 2024

Version: public consultation

We are the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. We are responsible for improving and protecting the environment, growing the green economy, sustaining thriving rural communities and supporting our world-class food, farming and fishing industries.

We work closely with our 33 agencies and arm's length bodies on our ambition to make our air purer, our water cleaner, our land greener and our food more sustainable. Our mission is to restore and enhance the environment for the next generation, and to leave the environment in a better state than we found it.



© Crown copyright 2024

This information is licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0. To view this licence, visit [www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/](http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/)

Where we have identified any third-party copyright information you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

This publication is available at [www.gov.uk/defra](http://www.gov.uk/defra)

Any enquiries regarding this publication should be sent to us at [FMPconsultations@defra.gov.uk](mailto:FMPconsultations@defra.gov.uk).

# Executive summary

This advice forms part of a commission from Defra to Natural England and JNCC to provide nature conservation advice to support the development of England and UK Fisheries Management Plans (FMPs). The advice provides information on the risks arising from the fisheries contained in the Southern North Sea and Channel Skates and Rays FMP to:

- the designated features of Marine Protected Areas in English waters
- UK Marine Strategy descriptors

The advice has scoped in demersal trawls (including beam trawls and otter trawls), static nets (including gillnets and trammel nets) and longlines as being the most relevant gear types for consideration.

More specific information on gear types, location and fishing effort during subsequent FMP development will improve the ability to assess risk within this FMP and may thus in the future alter some of the risk-ratings presented. We have made the assumption that as the FMP is initially focussing on the Precautionary Objective in the Fisheries Act, and thus that actions will be put in place to fish the target stock at MSY or its equivalent; hence our focus in this advice is on impacts beyond the target stocks.

## Risks relating to the designated features of English MPAs

Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) in English waters include Special Areas of Conservation (SACs) and Special Protection Areas (SPAs) which are protected under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 and the Conservation of Offshore Marine Habitats and Species Regulations 2017, collectively referred to as the Habitats Regulations. Additionally, Marine Conservation Zones (MCZs) are protected by the Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009. Impacts of activities are assessed against the conservation objectives of MPAs and activities should not have an adverse effect on the integrity of SACs or SPAs and should not hinder the conservation objectives of MCZs.

There are three primary ecological risks to MPA features arising from the gear types associated with skates and rays' fisheries; removal of target species, removal of non-target species, and impacts on habitats. These impacts can affect the designated features of MPAs both inside and outside the boundaries of MPAs.

Assessment of the impact of fishing activity occurring within MPAs in English waters has or will be carried out by the IFCA's or MMO. Therefore, appropriate management should either be in place or introduced soon to ensure any fishing within MPAs is compatible with the MPA's conservation objectives. Current management measures already in place are detailed on the [MMO](#) and [Association of IFCA's](#) websites.

**Considering the present assessments and management pathways, risks from fishing activities within English MPA boundaries are mitigated. Therefore, no extra action is recommended for the Fisheries Management Plan (FMP) within MPA site boundaries.**

Whilst management within an MPA site generally considers fishing activity that occurs within the site boundaries, there remains the potential for fishing activity occurring outside of an MPA to have impacts on designated features. This can happen when either the pressures exerted by the fishing activity impact protected features beyond the fishing's spatial footprint or when the MPA feature is mobile and travels outside the site. Therefore, the potential impacts considered in this advice are bycatch of designated features of MPAs outside site boundaries and reductions in prey through the targeted or bycatch of important prey of designated features.

The primary impacts of this fishery on MPA features outside site boundaries, with an indication of their risk level are summarised below.

- There is a **moderate** risk of bycatch of mobile species that are designated features of MPAs in demersal trawls.
- There remains a **high risk** of bycatch of marine mammals and seabirds in gillnets / trammel nets.
- There remains a **high risk** of seabird bycatch in longlines.

Enhanced understanding of these risks, bolstered by stakeholder insights, will guide decisions on potential mitigation measures. We anticipate providing more detailed insights on these matters in future and look forward to engaging with the Fisheries Management Plan (FMP) working group for more in-depth discussions.

## **Risks relating to UK Marine Strategy descriptors.**

The UK Marine Strategy Regulations 2010 (SI 2010/1627) provide the policy framework for delivering marine environmental policy at the UK level and set out how the vision of clean, healthy, safe, productive and biologically diverse oceans and seas will be achieved. The Regulations place a number of duties on the Defra Secretary of State, including the need to define the characteristics of Good Environmental Status (GES) and in turn develop an associated Programme of Measures required to deliver GES. Good Environmental Status (GES) establishes a 'benchmark' for our seas which seeks to 'protect the marine environment, preventing its deterioration and restoring it where practical, while allowing sustainable use of marine resources'. For each descriptor there are a number of practical targets and indicators that facilitate assessment of our delivery against each descriptor.

The UK Marine Strategy Regulations require management action to be taken to achieve or maintain GES. The Fisheries Act (2020) enables regulators to deliver on this ambition

through the Ecosystem Objective, which states that fish and aquaculture activities should be managed using an ecosystem-based approach, which is, in-part, defined in the Act by the achievement of GES. Equally, the recently published Joint Fisheries Statement (2022) lays out the ambition across UK administrations to take action to achieve or maintain Good Environmental Status (GES) in all UK waters (Joint Fisheries Statement, 2022).

Previous work by Natural England investigating the impact of the pressures associated with the fishing industry across all 11 descriptors of Good Environmental Status (GES<sup>1</sup>) in the UK marine environment has highlighted 6 key issues<sup>2</sup>. Of these issues, only a subset will be relevant to any particular fishery / sector. This is carried through to this advice resulting in advice on risks to eight descriptor-ecosystem component combinations: D1, D4 cetaceans; D1, D4 seals; D1, D4 seabirds; D1, D4 fish; D4 foodwebs; D1, D6 seafloor integrity and D10 Marine Litter. Advice has not been provided on D3 commercial fish and shellfish as achieving MSY is a foundational aim of the FMP and other ALB advice packages seek to support delivery of this.

The main interactions between the Southern North Sea and Channel Skates and Rays FMP and UK MS Descriptors that have been identified in Section 3 of this advice are summarised below. The high-level assessments flag the potential risk based on the predominant gear types used across a range of fisheries. We have not split into the individual GES risks associated with each component fishery.

- There is a **high risk** to D1, D4 cetaceans from gillnets.
- There is a **high risk** to D1, D4 seabirds from longlines.
- There is a **high risk** to D1, D6 seafloor integrity by beam and otter trawling.
- There is a **moderate risk** to D1, D4 cetaceans, D1, D4 seals and D1, D4 seabirds from through bycatch from beam trawls, otter trawls and trammel nets.
- There is a **moderate risk** to D10 marine litter from beam trawls, otter trawls, trammel nets, gillnets and longlines.

The detailed advice put forth in this report includes several recommendations for the Southern North Sea and Channel Skates and Rays FMP aimed at identifying and minimizing the associated risks to UK MS descriptors. A primary concern is the high bycatch rate of marine mammals and birds in static nets and longlines, and it is

---

<sup>1</sup> The 11 descriptors include: biodiversity; non-indigenous species; commercial fish; food webs; eutrophication; sea-floor integrity; hydrographical conditions; contaminants; contaminants in seafood; marine litter and underwater noise. For more information, see [Introduction to UK Marine Strategy](#)

<sup>2</sup> Key issues are: impact of the removal of targeted species on the status of fish stocks; benthic disturbance related pressures associated with towed demersal gear; impact of the removal of targeted fish stocks on other species / wider environment; impact of bycatch (bird / mammal / fish) on biodiversity, food webs or stocks; fishing related sources contributing to marine litter; noise from pingers / acoustic deterrents contributing to marine noise.

recommended that this issue be addressed through modifications to gear design, changes in fishing practices, and the establishment of spatial or temporal closures in areas of high bycatch risk. The imperative for enhanced mitigation strategies is evident, and the Bycatch Mitigation Initiative is anticipated to provide a comprehensive forum for addressing this matter. The report underscores the necessity to improve cetacean bycatch mitigation strategies, highlighting potential approaches like the incorporation of active acoustic deterrent devices (ADD) within small-scale fisheries. The importance of advancing seabird bycatch mitigation strategies is also highlighted, such as exploring the application of green light emitting diodes (LEDs) to illuminate fishing nets. Current mitigation strategies for both cetaceans and seabirds in the gillnet fishery are deemed inadequate (French et al., 2022). Given the high risk of seabird bycatch associated with longline fishing, the report recommends exploring the integration of weights into longlines and the employment of streamer lines, which have been demonstrated to reduce bycatch by as much as 76% and 99%, respectively (Melvin et al., 2001). Moreover, the report underscores the need for improved data collection in inshore regions, where elevated bycatch rates may occur due to the close proximity to seabird breeding colonies.

Further work between Defra and its ALBs is recommended to elucidate management advice for D1,D4 fish – the indicators for which are complex and include a long list of sensitive fish species. Many of the recommendations identify the need for a strategic, joined-up approach between FMPs, industry, Defra, ALBs and other stakeholders to find and implement solutions.